



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,361	11/12/2003	James Carlton Bedingfield SR.	60027.0328US01/BS030132	6810
39262	7590	10/20/2008		
MERCHANT & GOULD BELLSOUTH CORPORATION P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EXAMINER	
			PHAN, JOSEPH T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/20/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/706,361	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BEDINGFIELD, JAMES CARLTON
	<b>Examiner</b> Joseph T. Phan	<b>Art Unit</b> 2614

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 September 2008.

2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-28 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-166/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  
 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Objections***

1. Claim 23 objected to because of the following informalities: line 4 recites the term “constrict” which should be “construct”. Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 21 lines 10-13 recites “*...module being operative to construct the first email comprises the message notification and consolidation module operative to convert the first notification, comprising a voicemail message, from information in the first email message*” which is unclear and confusing because there are grammatical errors.

For example, in ‘*module being operative to construct the first email comprises*’ it is not known if the ‘module’ or ‘first email’ comprises another module. And secondly, in “*convert the first notification, comprising a voicemail*” it is not known if the ‘first notification’ comprises a voicemail message. Appropriate clarification and/or correction is required.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-4, 6-22, and 24-28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bosik et al., Patent #6,987,840.

Regarding claims 1 and 21, Bosik teaches a method and a system for notifying an electronic mail recipient of electronic mail receipt via voicemail messaging(Fig.3 and Fig.6), comprising: a subscriber e-mail provider system(Fig.3) operative to receive a first electronic mail (e-mail) message at a subscriber e-mail box(*Fig.3 and Fig.6; first step of receiving email*); a message notification and consolidation module operative to monitor receipt of the first electronic mail (e-mail) message at a subscriber e-mail box(*Fig.6*); construct a first e-mail received notification message wherein the message notification and consolidation module being operative to construct the first email comprises the message notification and consolidation module operative to convert the first notification, comprising a voicemail message, from information in the first email message(*col.1 line 50-col.2 line 11; see 112 issue above*); annotate the first e-mail received notification message with a unique identification tone for identifying the first e-mail received notification message(*col.3 lines 31-63 and col.4 lines 12-65*); transmit the first e-mail received notification message to a subscriber voicemail box(*col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-48*); determine whether the first e-mail message is disposed of in the subscriber e-mail box(*col.3 lines*

31-63 and col.4 lines 12-65);

locate the first e-mail received notification message in the subscriber voicemail box via the unique identification tone and delete the first e-mail received notification message from the subscriber voicemail box(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claims 2-4 and 22, Bosik teaches the method and system of claims 1 and 21, the message notification and consolidation module being further operative detect the receipt of a second e-mail message at the subscriber e-mail box prior to the disposition of the first e-mail message in the subscriber e-mail box(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67);

search the subscriber voicemail box for a voicemail message having the unique identification tone and delete the first e-mail received notification message from the subscriber voicemail box if the second e-mail message is received at the subscriber e-mail box prior to disposition of the first e-mail message in the subscriber e-mail box(*col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67; Bosik's system can receive multiple email messages which changes/deletes the first email received notification message with a new one*).

Regarding claim 23, Bosik, as best understood due to the 112 confusion above, teaches the method and system of claims 4 and 22, the message notification and consolidation module being further operative after deleting the first e-mail received notification message from the subscriber voicemail box, further comprising: constructing a second e-mail received notification message containing notification information from both the first e-mail message and the second e-mail(*col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67*);

annotating the second e-mail received notification message with a second unique identification tone for identifying the second e-mail received notification message, and transmitting the second e-mail received notification message to a subscriber voicemail box(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 6, Bosik teaches the method of claim 1, whereby receiving the first electronic mail message at the subscriber e-mail box, includes receiving the first e-mail message via an e-mail provider system(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 7, Bosik teaches the method of claim 6, prior to the step of receiving a first e-mail message at a subscriber e-mail box, further comprising monitoring the subscriber e-mail box via the e-mail provider system(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 8, Bosik teaches the method of claim 7, after receiving the first e-mail message at the subscriber e-mail box, obtaining a telephone directory number for the subscriber voicemail box(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 9, Bosik teaches the method of claim 8, after obtaining the telephone directory number for a subscriber voicemail box, obtaining an electronic messaging address for the subscriber voicemail box(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 10, Bosik teaches the method of claim 1, after transmitting the first e-mail received notification message to the subscriber voicemail box, activating a voicemail received indication at the subscriber voicemail box(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 11Bosik teaches the method of claim 10, whereby activating a voicemail

received indication at the subscriber voicemail box includes activating a voicemail received indication at a subscriber voicemail box access device(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 12, Bosik teaches the method of claim 11, whereby the voicemail box access device includes a telephone(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 13, Bosik teaches the method of claim 11, whereby the voicemail box access device includes a personal digital assistant(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 14, Bosik teaches the method of claim 7, whereby monitoring the subscriber e-mail box by the e-mail provider system includes monitoring a disposition status of the first e-mail message(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 15, Bosik teaches the method of claim 14, whereby monitoring the disposition status of the first e-mail message has been disposed of in the subscriber e-mail box(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 16, Bosik teaches the method of claim 15, whereby determining the first e-mail message has been disposed of in the subscriber e-mail box includes determining the first e-mail message has been deleted from the subscriber e-mail box(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 17, Bosik teaches the method of claim 15, whereby determining the first e-mail message has been disposed of in the subscriber e-mail box includes determining the first e-mail message has been read(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 18, Bosik teaches the method of claim 1, prior to deleting the first e-

mail received notification message from the subscriber voicemail box, searching the subscriber voicemail box for the first e-mail notification message by searching for a voicemail message having the unique identification tone(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 19, Bosik teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising receiving the first e-mail received notification message at the subscriber voicemail box; and reviewing the first e-mail received notification message by the subscriber(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 20, Bosik teaches the method of claim 19, further comprising receiving the second e-mail received notification message at the subscriber voicemail box; and reviewing the second e-mail received notification message by the subscriber(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 24, Bosik teaches a method of notifying an electronic mail recipient of electronic mail receipt via voicemail messaging, comprising:  
receiving a first electronic mail (e-mail) message at a subscriber e-mail box(Fig.6)  
constructing a first e-mail received notification message wherein constructing the first notification message comprises converting information from the first email message into a voicemail message(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67);  
annotating the first e-mail received notification message with a unique identification tone for identifying the first e-mail received notification message(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67);  
transmitting the first e-mail received notification message to a subscriber voicemail box(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67);

if the first e-mail message is disposed of, locating the first e-mail received notification message in the subscriber voicemail box via the unique identification tone, and deleting the first e-mail received notification message from the subscriber voicemail box (col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67);

monitoring the subscriber e-mail box for receipt of additional e-mail messages (col.7 lines 57-59); and if additional e-mail messages are received at the subscriber e-mail box prior to disposition of the first e-mail message in the subscriber e-mail box, deleting the first e-mail received notification message from the subscriber voicemail box, and transmitting to the subscriber voicemail box a consolidated e-mail received notification message containing notification information from both the first e-mail message and the additional e-mail messages (col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 25, Bosik teaches the method of claim 24, whereby after prior to transmitting the consolidated e-mail received notification message, annotating the consolidated e-mail received notification message with a second unique identification tone for identifying the consolidated e-mail received notification message (col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 26, Bosik teaches a method of notifying a voicemail receiver of an event or other item of interest via voicemail messaging, comprising: constructing a first notification message for notifying the voicemail receiver of the event or other item of interest, wherein constructing the first notification message comprises converting information from the first email message into a voicemail message (col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67); annotating the first notification message with a unique identification tone for identifying the first

notification message(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67); transmitting the first notification message to a subscriber voicemail box; locating the first notification message in the subscriber voicemail box via the unique identification tone; and modifying the first notification message(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 27, Bosik teaches the method of Claim 26, whereby modifying the first notification message includes deleting the first notification message(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 28, Bosik teaches the method of Claim 26, whereby modifying the first notification message includes replacing the first notification message with a second notification message(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

*Allowable Subject Matter*

5. Claim 5 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and intervening claims.

The prior art of record, does not teach, all of the limitations disclosed in claim 5 and it's intervening claims.

*Conclusion*

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph T. Phan whose telephone number is (571) 272-7544. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30am-6pm EST, off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-7547. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Joseph T Phan/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2614  
/CURTIS KUNTZ/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614